

West Linn – Wilsonville School District

Long Range Planning Committee Meeting
Administration Building
22210 SW Stafford Rd – Tualatin, OR 97062



Project: **MEETING MINUTES: LONG RANGE PLANNING COMMITTEE**

Date: November 19, 2013 – 7:00 PM

Recorded by: Remo Douglas

Meeting Minutes

Attendees:

Jerri Bohard	Tom Miller
Doris Wehler	Gretchen Katko
Tim Woodley	Superintendent Bill Rhoades
Keith Liden	WLWV School Board Member:
Regan Molatore	

New Business

- Mtg – Item* *Discussion/Action Item*
1. Check-In: Tim Woodley called the meeting to order at 7:03 pm.
 2. Roll Call – David Lake and Kent Wyatt absent.
 3. Advance Road UGB/Annex-Update Tim Woodley
 1. 21-day appeal period ended 11/12 with no filing
 4. Complete the Long Range Plan
 - a. Part A - Complete with some edits
 - b. Part B - Complete with edits/awaiting demographic data for 5-year projections.
 - c. Part C- draft form for review
 5. Develop the Capital Improvement Program
 - a. Stand-alone document (similar to 2008 CIP booklet)
 - b. Progress to date
 - i. Meetings complete with 15 principals
 - ii. Other stakeholder/subject studies
 - iii. Assemble/refine data list(s)
 - iv. CIP Short List
 1. 450-student "starter" middle school at Advance Road
 2. 100-student alternative high school? Y/N
 3. 350-student Sunset Replacement School

Department of Operations
503-673-7995 Fax 503-638-9143 • www.wlww.k12.or.us
2755 SW Borland Road, Tualatin, Oregon 97062

4. WLHS 700-Building Remodel
5. Technology
6. Life-cycle Replacement Projects
- v. Price project list
- vi. Package projects & re-price
- c. Publish Part C of Long Range Plan ("draft") including Tech Plan

Tim talked about the distinct purposes of the Long Range Plan and the CIP. Tim brought forward the idea of not including a scope list as part of the Long Range Plan document, but rather including it in the CIP. The proposal is to provide a Long Range Plan for adoption by the Board without a list of projects since the CIP is preliminary and requires separate action at a later date.

Tim talked about the notion of stewardship and protecting the investments already made by the WLWV community.

There was considerable discussion as to how to communicate the ideas of stewardship and protecting the investments rather than the traditional use of the term "deferred maintenance". Suggestions included listing improvements from previous bonds that now need life cycle replacement. Also a significant visual display, perhaps in the board room that would bring the public to recall the many valuable assets gained as a result of past bond issues, and that need to be replaced at the end of their useful life. Also to tell the story of past successes "stewardship of investment".

There was conversation about condensed, clear, simple communication of ideas from the LRP and CIP. Show progression of ideas and concepts, blackboards to projectors, square classrooms down corridors to different shaped classrooms in 'neighborhoods'. It was suggested that a video may be a good method of bringing these concepts to the public.

It was recalled that John Weekes had previously done presentations on vision and progression of education design.

6. Review November 18 Board Study Session
 - a. Bonding Capacity
 - b. Local Option
 - c. Public Opinion Survey
 - d. Election Timing

Tim and others recalled key points from the study session. Interesting information regarding voting patterns, and the need to reach non-parent voters with the story of the bond vote. It was agreed that it was important for people to know "how to talk to your circle about the bond vote". A conversation about how to present the success of the past/current bonds was held. A suggestion was made that the story of current and past students who have succeeded as a result of the schools would be a strong message. The idea that the strength of

this area is in the schools was mentioned. The concept of how to get 'from A to B' as described in a video by the Minnesota department of transportation was mentioned. Tom presented the following final question "How did we get where we are, and where are we going in the future?" These messages should be presented to the public coming out of the current bond.

7. Anything else?
 - a. Does the Long Range Planning Committee want to present the finished LRP to the school board on December 2nd?
 - b. Does the LRPC want to meet prior to December 16th board study session?
 - c. When/how should the LRPC make recommendations to the school board?

It was suggested that David Lake might present the LRP to the Board, Tim will ask him. It was decided that the LRPC would not meet again prior to the December 16th board study session, but would meet an additional time in early January. The LRPC will make a written recommendation to the Board for adoption of the Long Range Plan. The LRPC will make a written recommendation to the Board for the holding of a bond summit, with a date.

There was some discussion regarding the location for a bond summit. Some suggested a new primary school, others commented on the comfort and available facilities to handle that many people at WLHS auditorium. The issue of how to handle feeding a group of that size was discussed. The subject of who attends a bond summit was discussed. Key spokespeople at each school, local government, business leaders, athletics, robotics, other special interests.

There was a discussion about the schedule of elections. Both the local option and capital bond are coming up in a narrow window of opportunity. Several options were discussed. Several comments were made that doing the two votes at the same time worked in conversation.

Next Meeting: TBD early January/ Operations will communicate

[END OF 11/19/13 LRPC MTNG MINUTES]