

District: West Linn-Wilsonville SD 3J **School:** Three Rivers Charter School

The purpose of the Report Card Rating Details report is to describe the rating methodology and display the data used by the school accountability system to determine the overall school rating that is shown on each school's Report Card. The Oregon Department of Education (ODE) piloted the school accountability system in 2011-2012 to identify Priority, Focus, and Model schools as part of the ESEA Waiver. For more details on the school report cards, please visit the following link: http://www.ode.state.or.us/go/schoolRC.

Performance Indicator	ndicator Level		Weight	Weighted Points
Academic Achievement	Level 5	100%	25	25.0
Academic Growth	Level 4	80.0%	50	40.0
Subgroup Growth (page 5)	Not Rated		0	0.0
Number of Missed Participation Targets*	0	NA		
* Schools do not receive points for participation. However, a school's overall Level is lowered by one level for each consecutive year that it did not meet all participation targets, starting in 2012-13.		Totals**		65.0
** Schools may not be eligible for all possi Schools are not rated in categories where meet minimum student count requirements	Weigh Perce		86.7%	

Overall Level: Level 4

Level Assignment	Weighted Percent
Level 5	87.0 or above
Level 4	70.0 to 86.9
Level 3	47.0 to 69.9
Level 2	26.5 to 46.9
Level 1	Less than 26.5

Levels are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of the total points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are:

• 25 for Academic Achievement

• 50 for Academic Growth

• 25 for Subgroup Growth

The total score is matched to the scoring guide above to determine the school's rating.

Federal Reporting Designations				
Received Title I Funds in 2013-14 (Y/N)	Ν			
ESEA Designation (if any)				

This school participated in the Smarter Balanced field test. The available OAKS tests may not be representative of all students required to test. Interpret the overall school rating and the performance indicator ratings with caution.



Public Version - Final - October 9, 2014

District: West Linn-Wilsonville SD 3J **School:** Three Rivers Charter School

The school accountability system determines the overall school rating by using the percent of points a school earns for each of the indicators below. Subsequent pages display the data that support each indicator rating.

Academic Achievement (page 3)	Level	Points Earned	Points Eligible			
Reading (All Students)	Level 5	5	5			
Mathematics (All Students)	Level 5	5	5			
Total	Level 5	10	10			
Percent of Points Earned = Total Points Earned / Total Points Eligible						

1	F
ł	5
ŀ	5
6	10
	} }

Category Level Cutoffs				
Level	% of Points Earned			
Level 5	90.0%			
Level 4	70.0%			
Level 3	50.0%			
Level 2	30.0%			
Level 1	<30.0%			

Percent of Points Earned = Total Points Earned / Total Points Eligible 80.0%

Subgroup Growth (page 5)	Level	Points Earned	Points Eligible
Reading	·		
Economically Disadvantaged	Not Rated	0	0
English Learners	Not Rated	0	0
Students with Disabilities	Not Rated	0	0
Underserved Races/Ethnicities ¹	Not Rated	0	0
Math	·		
Economically Disadvantaged	Not Rated	0	0
English Learners	Not Rated	0	0
Students with Disabilities	Not Rated	0	0
Underserved Races/Ethnicities ¹	Not Rated	0	0
Total	Not Rated	0	0
Percent of Points Farm	d = Total Point	s Farned / Total	Points Eligible

Percent of Points Earned = Total Points Earned / Total Points Eligible
Includes American Indian/Alaskan Native, Pacific Islander, Black, and Hispanic students.



2013-2014 Academic Achievement Details

Public Version - Final - October 9, 2014

District: West Linn-Wilsonville SD 3J **School:** Three Rivers Charter School

The Academic Achievement indicator rating reflects the percent of all students that meet or exceed standards on the state reading and mathematics assessments at all tested grades in the school. Note that, despite their display below, the Academic Achievement indicator rating does not include subgroup data as described in Oregon's ESEA Waiver.

Achievement Level Cutoffs						
Level	Reading	Math				
Level 5	87.2 & above	82.3 & above				
Level 4	72.0 to 87.1	69.0 to 82.2				
Level 3	58.8 to 71.9	49.2 to 68.9				
Level 2	49.6 to 58.7	39.3 to 49.1				
Level 1	Less than 49.6	Less than 39.3				

Reading	Level	2012-13		2013-14		Combined
		Tests	% Met	Tests	% Met	% Met
All Students	Level 5	*	>95	100	95.0	>95
Economically Disadvantaged ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
English Learners ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities ¹	Not Rated	11	81.8	10	70.0	76.2
Underserved Races/Ethnicities ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	>95
American Indian/Alaska Native ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Black/African American ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Hispanic/Latino ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Asian ¹	Not Rated	*	>95	*	>95	>95
White ¹	Level 5	*	>95	*	>95	>95
Multi-Racial ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	87.5

Math	Level	2012-13		2013-14		Combined
Wath		Tests	% Met	Tests	% Met	% Met
All Students	Level 5	*	>95	100	95.0	>95
Economically Disadvantaged ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
English Learners ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities ¹	Not Rated	11	90.9	10	70.0	81.0
Underserved Races/Ethnicities ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	66.7
American Indian/Alaska Native ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Black/African American ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Hispanic/Latino ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Asian ¹	Not Rated	*	>95	*	>95	>95
White ¹	Level 5	*	>95	*	>95	>95
Multi-Racial ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	75.0

1. These data are not part of the achievement rating but are included to provide additional information on subgroup performance.

2. Included in the Underserved Races/Ethnicities subgroup.

Data notes:

Not Rated Subgroup did not meet minimum size requirement in order to receive a rating.

* Fewer than 6 students tested in the last two years combined

>95.0 Greater than 95 percent of students met or exceeded. Test counts are also suppressed.

<5.0 Less than 5 percent of students met or exceeded. Test counts are also suppressed.

This school participated in the Smarter Balanced field test. The available OAKS tests may not be representative of all students required to test. A school will not receive a rating in this indicator if there is a >= 40% decrease in the number of OAKS tests from 2012-13 to 2013-14. Interpret the Academic Achievement indicator ratings with caution.



District: West Linn-Wilsonville SD 3J **School:** Three Rivers Charter School

The Academic Growth indicator uses the Colorado Growth Model to measure student growth in reading and mathematics as compared to academic peers (i.e., students throughout the state who have a similar reading or math test score history). Oregon adopted this growth model as part of the process of obtaining a waiver from some of the requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB). This growth model provides a more complete picture of student performance and will help provide a better evaluation of school effectiveness.

2013-2014 Academic Growth Details

Public Version - Final - October 9, 2014

Growth Level Cutoffs						
Loval	On Track Growth					
Level Yes		No				
Level 5	60 & above	70 & above				
Level 4	45 to 59.5	55 to 69.5				
Level 3	35 to 44.5	45 to 54.5				
Level 2	30 to 34.5	40 to 44.5				
Level 1	Less than 30	Less than 40				

The growth model looks at a student's growth as compared to that of his/her academic peers with a similar test score history. The Growth Model subsequently expresses student growth as a percentile. For example, a growth percentile of 50 would indicate that a student had average growth compared to all other students in the state with similar prior test scores. A growth percentile of 80 would indicate that a student's growth was as high or higher than 80 percent of his/her academic peers.

The school accountability system uses the median growth percentile which represents "typical" growth at the school. As shown below, this median growth percentile is the basis for the Academic Growth ratings for reading and mathematics. The growth model also provides growth targets for students in grades 3 to 8. These growth targets represent the growth percentile a student would need to meet the standard within three years (for those students currently below standard) or to maintain standard for the next three years (for those students above standard). The school accountability system uses the median growth target to determine whether students at the school have On Track growth:

- If the Combined Median Growth Percentile = Combined Median Growth Target, then On Track Growth = 'Yes'
- If the Combined Median Growth Percentile < Combined Median Growth Target, then On Track Growth = 'No'

On Track growth is used to determine the growth levels (see the Growth Level Cutoffs table in the upper right corner of this page).

		2012-13		2013-14		Combined	Combined	
Academic Growth	Level	Students	Median Growth Percentile	Students	Median Growth Percentile	Median Growth Percentile	Median Growth Target	On Track Growth?
Reading (All Students)	Level 4	99	70.0	99	47.0	56.0	6.0	Yes
Mathematics (All Students)	Level 4	99	65.0	99	53.0	58.0	13.5	Yes

Data notes:

Not Rated Subgroup did not meet minimum size requirement in order to receive a rating

* Fewer than 6 students with growth percentiles.

NA Not applicable

This school participated in the Smarter Balanced field test. The available OAKS tests may not be representative of all students required to test. A school will not receive a rating in this indicator if there is a >= 40% decrease in the number of OAKS tests from 2012-13 to 2013-14. Interpret the Academic Growth indicator ratings with caution.



Public Version - Final - October 9, 2014

District: West Linn-Wilsonville SD 3J **School:** Three Rivers Charter School

The Subgroup Growth indicator measures the growth of historically underserved student subgroups. It disaggregates the Academic Growth indicator and reflects the growth for economically disadvantaged, limited English proficient, students with disabilities, and historically underserved races/ethnicities. To receive a Subgroup Growth indicator rating, a subgroup must meet the minimum size requirement for the Academic Achievement indicator rating (i.e., 40 tests in the last two years combined) and have at least 30 students with growth percentiles.

Growth Level Cutoffs								
Level	On Track Growth							
Level	Yes	No						
Level 5	60 & above	70 & above						
Level 4	45 to 59.5	55 to 69.5						
Level 3	35 to 44.5	45 to 54.5						
Level 2	30 to 34.5	40 to 44.5						
Level 1	Less than 30	Less than 40						

		2	012-13	20	013-14	Combined	Combined Median Growth Target	On
Reading	Level	Students	Median Growth Percentile	Students	Median Growth Percentile	Median Growth Percentile		Track Growth?
Economically Disadvantaged	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
English Learners	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
Students with Disabilities	Not Rated	10	56.0	10	56.0	56.0	26.0	NA
Underserved Races/Ethnicities	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	68.5	16.5	NA
American Indian/Alaska Native ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
Black/African American ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
Hispanic/Latino ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
Asian ²	Not Rated	7	84.0	9	56.0	71.0	3.0	NA
White ²	Level 4	86	68.5	83	47.0	55.0	6.0	Yes
Multi-Racial ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	40.0	5.0	NA

		20	012-13	2	013-14	Combined	Combined	On
Math	Level	Students	Median Growth Percentile	Students	Median Growth Percentile	Median Growth Percentile	Median Growth Target	Track Growth?
Economically Disadvantaged	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
English Learners	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
Students with Disabilities	Not Rated	10	43.0	10	48.5	43.5	19.5	NA
Underserved Races/Ethnicities	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	48.0	24.5	NA
American Indian/Alaska Native ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
Black/African American ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
Hispanic/Latino ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
Asian ²	Not Rated	7	91.0	9	46.0	68.0	3.0	NA
White ²	Level 5	86	65.0	83	55.0	60.0	13.0	Yes
Multi-Racial ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	35.0	29.0	NA

1. Included in the Underserved Races/Ethnicities subgroup.

2. These data are not part of the academic growth rating but are included to provide additional information on subgroup performance.

Data notes:

Not Rated Subgroup did not meet minimum size requirement in order to receive a rating.

* Fewer than 6 students tested in the last two years combined

NA Not applicable

This school participated in the Smarter Balanced field test. The available OAKS tests may not be representative of all students required to test. A school will not receive a rating in this indicator if there is a \geq 40% decrease in the number of OAKS tests from 2012-13 to 2013-14. Interpret the Subgroup Growth indicator ratings with caution.



District: West Linn-Wilsonville SD 3J **School:** Three Rivers Charter School

All students enrolled on the first school day in May must take a statewide assessment. The tables below display the percentage of students who took a statewide assessment by school year, subject, and subgroup. The tables also indicate whether each subgroup met the federal participation rate target of 94.5%. The overall school rating as well as the Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, and Subgroup Growth indicator ratings depend upon student test scores. These ratings are valid only when schools uniformly test all students. As a result, schools with one or more subgroups missing the participation target will receive a reduction in their overall school rating by one Level.

Participation Target: 94.5%

Reading	Status	Participants		Non-Participants		Participation Rate			Applied
Neaung	Status	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	Combined	Rate ²
All Students	Met	100	100	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Current
Economically Disadvantaged	Not Rated	0	0	0	0				NA
English Learners	Not Rated	1	2	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Students with Disabilities	Not Rated	11	10	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Underserved Races/Ethnicities	Not Rated	3	3	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
American Indian/Alaska Native ¹	Not Rated	0	0	0	0				NA
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ¹	Not Rated	0	0	0	0				NA
Black/African American ¹	Not Rated	1	0	0	0	100.0		100.0	NA
Hispanic/Latino ¹	Not Rated	2	3	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Asian	Not Rated	7	9	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
White	Met	87	83	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Current
Multi-Racial	Not Rated	3	5	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA

Math	Status	Participants		Non-Participants		Participation Rate			Applied
Iviau i	Status	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	Combined	Rate ²
All Students	Met	100	100	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Current
Economically Disadvantaged	Not Rated	0	0	0	0				NA
English Learners	Not Rated	1	2	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Students with Disabilities	Not Rated	11	10	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Underserved Races/Ethnicities	Not Rated	3	3	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
American Indian/Alaska Native ¹	Not Rated	0	0	0	0				NA
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ¹	Not Rated	0	0	0	0				NA
Black/African American ¹	Not Rated	1	0	0	0	100.0		100.0	NA
Hispanic/Latino ¹	Not Rated	2	3	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Asian	Not Rated	7	9	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
White	Met	87	83	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Current
Multi-Racial	Not Rated	3	5	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA

1. Included in the Underserved Races/Ethnicities subgroup.

2. The Applied rate is the rate used to determine if the participation target Is met. It is the higher of the combined rate and the most recent rate.

Data notes:

Not Rated Subgroup did not meet minimum size requirement in order to receive a rating.

Fewer than 6 students tested in the last two years combined

This school participated in the Smarter Balanced field test. Note that the combined rate for this school includes both OAKS and field test participants.



Public Version - Final - October 9, 2014

District: West Linn-Wilsonville SD 3J **School:** Three Rivers Charter School

The tables below display the Smarter Balanced field test and OAKS participation rates by grade and subject, and are only applicable to schools that administered the field test in 2013-14. The OAKS participation rate must be at least 94.5% in each field test grade and subject to include OAKS scores in the Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, and Subgroup Growth indicators.

Field test schools may choose to appeal the inclusion or exclusion of OAKS scores. In the event of a successful appeal, the "Include OAKS" field below will reflect the result of the appeal (either the inclusion or exclusion of OAKS scores) regardless of the OAKS participation rate. The Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, and Subgroup Growth indicators will reflect the inclusion or exclusion of OAKS scores based on the successful appeal.

Participation Target: 94.5%

Pooding	Field Test	Include	Total	Field	Test	OAKS		
Reading	Grade ¹	OAKS ²	Students ³	Participants	Rate	Participants	Rate	
Grade 3	NA	NA	NA	0		0		
Grade 4	Yes	Yes	20	20	100	20	100.0	
Grade 5	Yes	Yes	21	21	100	21	100.0	
Grade 6	Yes	Yes	21	21	100	21	100.0	
Grade 7	Yes	Yes	17	17	100	17	100.0	
Grade 8	Yes	Yes	21	21	100	21	100.0	
Grade 11	NA	NA	NA	0		0		

Math	Field Test	Include	Total	Field	Test	OAKS		
Malli	Grade ¹	OAKS ²	Students ³	Participants	Rate	Participants	Rate	
Grade 3	NA	NA	NA	0		0		
Grade 4	Yes	Yes	20	20	100	20	100.0	
Grade 5	Yes	Yes	21	21	100	21	100.0	
Grade 6	Yes	Yes	21	21	100	21	100.0	
Grade 7	Yes	Yes	17	17	100	17	100.0	
Grade 8	Yes	Yes	21	21	100	21	100.0	
Grade 11	NA	NA	NA	0		0		

1. Indicates whether the school administered the Smarter Balanced field test in the tested grade.

2. Indicates whether the Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, and Subgroup Growth indicators will include OAKS scores from the respective field test grade and subject.

3. This is the denominator for the field test and OAKS participation rates, and represents all students enrolled on the first school day in May.

Data notes:

Fewer than 6 students tested in the last two years combined.